UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,647	11/10/2005	Michael Robbe	28944/40163	6584
	7590 10/14/200 * & FRANK LLP	EXAMINER		
311 S. WACKER DRIVE			ALMO, KHAREEM E	
SUITE 2500 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/556,647	ROBBE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KHAREEM E. ALMO	2816
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2005 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected frawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Prity documents have been receiv Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate

Application/Control Number: 10/556,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/8/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan (US 6693494) in view of (Nilson and Riedel, Electric Circuits fifth edition figure 6.17 pg 227)
- 4. With respect to claim 1, figures 6, 4 and 3 of Fan (US 6693494) discloses a voltage shift control circuit intended to be placed in parallel with at least one voltage shift capacitor (C1) coupled between the phase comparator (21) and the voltage controlled oscillator (23) of a phase locked loop, and comprising: an input (input to the charge pump A), intended to be coupled with the output of the phase comparator; an

output (output of the loop filter 22), intended to be coupled with the input of the voltage controlled oscillator; controlled charging means (FIG 6), designed to charge the voltage shift capacitor (C3) according to a control signal (NORMAL MODE, SPEEDUP MODE OR PRECHARGE MODE); controlled pre-charging means (62, 63, 64, 65, Sb and Sc), designed to accelerate (via SPEEDUP MODE) the charging of the voltage shift capacitor by the controlled charging means; and controlled polarization means (40 of figure 4), designed to ensure the polarization of the input during the pre-charging of the voltage shift capacitor but fails to disclose at least one voltage shift capacitor coupled in series. Figure 6.17 of Riedel teaches the use of 3 series capacitors to replace one capacitor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a number of capacitors in series for one a larger equivalent capacitor for the well known purpose of optimizing the value of the capacitance. (Note: the way the claim is now written does not specify what the voltage shift capacitor is coupled in series with. This in turn only requires it to be between the phase comparator and the voltage controlled oscillator and be in series with something.)

With respect to claim 7, the above combination discloses the circuit according to Claim 1, further comprising means (Sc) for deactivating the controlled pre-charging means before the controlled polarization means.

With respect to claim 10, the above combination discloses the circuit according to claim 1, designed in CMOS technology (Note this claim is deemed obvious expedient to one skilled in the art to design the circuit using CMOS technology).

With respect to claim 11, the combination above discloses the Phase locked loop comprising a phase or frequency comparator (21), a loop filter (22), a voltage controlled oscillator (23), a voltage shift capacitor (C3) coupled in series between the phase comparator and the voltage controlled oscillator, and a voltage shift control circuit according to Claim 1 placed in parallel with the voltage shift capacitor (C3) and comprising: an input, intended to be coupled with the output of the phase comparator; an output, intended to be coupled with the input of the voltage controlled oscillator (23); controlled charging means (60, 61), designed to charge the voltage shift capacitor according to a control signal; controlled pre-charging means (62, 63, 64, 65, Sb and Sc), designed to accelerate the charging of the voltage shift capacitor by the controlled charging means; and controlled polarization means (40), designed to ensure the polarization of the input during the pre-charging of the voltage shift capacitor but fails to disclose a series voltage shift capacitor. Figure 6.17 of Riedel teaches the use of 3 series capacitors to replace one capacitor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a number of capacitors in series for one a larger equivalent capacitor for the well known purpose of optimizing the value of the capacitance. (Note the voltage shift capacitor would be coupled in series to another capacitor and be between the vco and the phase comparator.)

With respect to claim 12, the combination above discloses the Radio-frequency transmitter (Note: the recitation of the Radio frequency transmitter is deemed intended use because the circuit of claim 1 can be put into a variety of circuits), phase locked

loop generating a radio-frequency signal to be transmitted, said phase locked loop comprising a phase or frequency comparator, a loop filter, a voltage controlled oscillator, a Voltage shift capacitor coupled in series between the phase comparator and the voltage controlled oscillator, and a voltage shift control circuit according to Claim 1 placed in parallel with the voltage shift capacitor and comprising: an input, intended to be coupled with the output of the phase comparator; an output, intended to be coupled with the input of the voltage controlled oscillator; controlled charging means (60, 61), designed to charge the voltage shift capacitor according to a control signal; controlled pre-charring means (62, 63, 64, 65, Sb and Sc), designed to accelerate the charging of the voltage shift capacitor by the controlled charging means; and controlled polarization means (40), designed to ensure the polarization of the input during the pre-charging of the voltage shift capacitor.

With respect to claim 13, the combination above discloses the Mobile terminal of a radio-communications system with a radio-frequency transmitter (Note: the recitation of the Radio frequency transmitter and the Mobile terminal is deemed intended use because the circuit of claim 1 can be put into a variety of circuits), having a phase locked loop for generating a radio-frequency signal to be transmitted, said phase locked loop comprising a phase or frequency comparator, a loop filter, a voltage controlled oscillator, a voltage shift capacitor coupled in series between the phase comparator and the voltage controlled oscillator, and a voltage shift control circuit according to Claim 1 placed in parallel with the voltage shift capacitor and comprising: an input, intended to be coupled with the output of the phase comparator; an output, intended to be coupled

Application/Control Number: 10/556,647

Art Unit: 2816

Page 6

with the input of the voltage controlled oscillator; controlled charging means, designed to charge the voltage shift capacitor according to a control signal; controlled precharging means, designed to accelerate the charging of the voltage shift capacitor by the controlled charging means; and controlled polarization means, designed to ensure the polarization of the input during the pre-charging of the voltage shift capacitor.

With respect to claim 14, the combination above discloses the Base station of a radio-communications system with a radio-frequency transmitter (Note: the recitation of the Radio frequency transmitter and the Base station is deemed intended use because the circuit of claim 1 can be put into a variety of circuits), having a phase locked loop for generating a radio-frequency signal to be transmitted, said phase locked loop comprising a phase or frequency comparator, a loop filter, a voltage controlled oscillator, a voltage shift capacitor coupled in series between the phase comparator and the voltage controlled oscillator, and a voltage shift control circuit according to Claim 1 placed in parallel with the voltage shift capacitor and comprising: an input, intended to be coupled with the output of the phase comparator; an output, intended to be coupled with the input of the voltage controlled oscillator; controlled charring means, designed to charge the voltage shift capacitor according to a control signal; controlled pre-charging means, designed to accelerate the charging of the voltage shift capacitor by the controlled charging means; and controlled polarization means, designed to ensure the polarization of the input during the pre-charging of the voltage shift capacitor.

5. Claims 2-5, 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan (US 6693494) in view of Kumar et al. (US 6611161) in further view of of (Nilson and Riedel, Electric Circuits fifth edition figure 6.17 pg 227)

With respect to claim 2, figures 6, 4 and 3 of Fan (US 6693494) discloses a voltage shift control circuit intended to be placed in parallel with at least one voltage shift capacitor (C1) coupling the phase comparator (21) and the voltage controlled oscillator (23) of a phase locked loop, and comprising: an input (input to the charge pump A), intended to be coupled with the output of the phase comparator; an output (out of 22), intended to be coupled with the input of the voltage controlled oscillator; controlled charging means (FIG 6), designed to charge the voltage shift capacitor (C3) according to a control signal (NORMAL MODE, SPEEDUP MODE OR PRECHARGE MODE); controlled pre-charging means (62, 63, 64, 65, Sb and Sc), designed to accelerate (via SPEEDUP MODE) the charging of the voltage shift capacitor by the controlled charging means; and controlled polarization (division into two opposites) means (40 of figure 4), designed to ensure the polarization of the input during the precharging of the voltage shift capacitor but fails to disclose wherein the controlled charging means comprise a first operational amplifier connected as a voltage follower between the input and the output, a resistor (R3) placed in the feedback loop of the operational amplifier, and a controlled current source (60) supplying a current (at node output from 60) of specified value through said resistor and fails to disclose a series voltage shift capacitor. Figure 4 of Kumar teaches the use of a unity gain amplifier (240) at the output of the charge pump to suppress charge sharing from parasitic

capacitances. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use the unity gain amplifier of Kumar in the circuit of Fan for the purpose of suppressing charge sharing from parasitic capacitances. Figure 6.17 of Riedel teaches the use of 3 series capacitors to replace one capacitor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a number of capacitors in series for one a larger equivalent capacitor for the well known purpose of optimizing the value of the capacitance.

With respect to claim 3, the combination above discloses the circuit according to Claim 2, wherein the operational amplifier of the charging means comprise a push-pull output stage (60 and 61)-and wherein the charging means further comprise a resistor (R3) of high value connected in series between the output of the operational amplifier and the output of the circuit.

With respect to claim 4, the combination above discloses the circuit according to Claim 3, wherein the controlled pre-charging means comprise a push-pull stage (64 65) which, in the activation of the pre-charging means configuration, is arranged as a mirror with respect to the push-pull output stage of the operational amplifier of the charging means, in such a way as to short-circuit the high value resistor.

With respect to claim 5, figure discloses the circuit according to Claim 4, wherein the push-pull stage (64 and 65) of the pre-charging means (62, 63, 64, 65, Sb and Sc) is designed to deliver a current higher than the current delivered by the push-pull output stage of the operational amplifier of the charging means.

With respect to claim 8, the combination above discloses circuit according to Claim 2

further comprising an additional controlled push-pull stage (62 and 63) whose output is intended to be connected to the centre point of an RC network of a loop filter (22) of the PLL and which, in the activation configuration, is connected as a mirror with respect to the push-pull stage (64 and 65) of the controlled pre-charging (62, 63, 64, 65, Sb and Sc) means and with respect to the push-pull output stage of the operational amplifier of the charging means.

With respect to claim 9, the combination above discloses circuit according to Claim 8, wherein the additional controlled push-pull stage (62 and 63) is integrated with the operational amplifier of the charging means.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With respect to claim 6, the prior art fails to disclose or suggest the circuit according to claim 1 wherein the controlled polarization means comprises a second operational amplifier connected as a voltage follower as disclosed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,647 Page 10

Art Unit: 2816

With respect to applicant's arguments, the capacitor is still coupled in series (i.e. the C1 would be in series with another capacitor etc.) and it is still between the Phase comparator and the vco. Also note that if a grounded capacitor is replaced with an equivalent network of capacitors with those capacitors in parallel and a bottom capacitor grounded, the parallel capacitors are in series between the adjacent objects. As such the new claim language does not overcome the rejection.

With respect to the interpretation of polarization, the broadest reasonable interpretation is the correct interpretation. The examiner contents that the common definition would be both broad and reasonable.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAREEM E. ALMO whose telephone number is (571)272-5524. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1736. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,647 Page 11

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Khareem E Almo/ Examiner, Art Unit 2816 /Lincoln Donovan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2816